



74th Annual Delegate Assembly

Saturday, September 27, 2014
8 a.m. – Noon

Pueblo Marriott and Convention Center
Pueblo, CO



Colorado Association of School Boards
www.casb.org



Colorado Association of School Boards

Dear CASB Member:

State testing. School finance. “Sin tax” initiatives. Student data privacy. PARCC. Student count models. School readiness assessments. These are a few of the issues we’ll be debating at CASB’s 74th Annual Delegate Assembly on Sept. 27 in Pueblo. If your local board wants to influence the CASB position on these critical education issues, and have a voice in what promises to be one of the most lively and diverse deliberations in recent Delegate Assembly history, you must send a representative to the assembly.

I encourage school boards to carefully review and discuss the resolutions and amendments proposed in this booklet. Voting delegates will debate – and ultimately determine – CASB’s advocacy agenda for the coming year. Active participation in the Delegate Assembly allows your board to take an active role in establishing how CASB will address issues that impact local boards of education, including resolutions to:

- reform school finance (page 9)
- develop a more equitable student count model (page 19)
- oppose citizen-led “sin tax” initiatives (page 20)
- pull out of the PARCC consortium (page 27)
- scale state testing back to federal minimums (page 28)
- put stringent parameters on student data collection (page 29)
- allow local districts flexibility around school readiness assessments and planning (page 29)

It is vital that your representative understands the issues that come before the assembly for action, and that your representative is prepared to vote on these issues on behalf of your board. Although only one board member from each district may be a delegate, all interested members are welcome to attend and witness the proceedings at the General Assembly.

Thank you for helping guide the advocacy work of the CASB Board of Directors and staff!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jan Tanner". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial 'J'.

Jan Tanner
CASB President

Note: CASB will send one hard copy of this booklet to each school board via the superintendent secretary of each district. A PDF is available for download on CASB’s website at www.casb.org.

About CASB's Delegate Assembly

The Delegate Assembly is the foundation of CASB's governance structure and provides critical direction as CASB represents members' interests before state and national policymakers. Working with CASB's advocacy staff and the Legislative Resolutions Committee, designated delegates from local boards help ensure that CASB reflects the interests of boards of education across the state.

The Delegate Assembly is made up of approximately 178 delegates who are appointed/designated by their local school boards in 12 geographic regions throughout Colorado (see pages 42-43). Your board's representation at the Delegate Assembly is of the utmost importance to both your district and to CASB. It is vital that your representative understands the issues that come before the assembly for action, and that your representative is prepared to vote on these issues on behalf of your board. The decisions made by the assembly will set the course for CASB for the coming year.

The Delegate Assembly charts CASB's future in three significant ways:

- elects CASB's board of directors
- amends CASB's bylaws to ensure a responsive and effective association
- adopts CASB's advocacy agenda, the legislative "roadmap" for issues critical to public education for the foreseeable future

What Does it Mean to be a Delegate?

Roles & Responsibilities

- attend two annual Delegate Assembly meetings (held during the fall conference and annual convention)
- help formulate CASB's legislative priorities and activities and adopt resolutions to guide the legislative agenda
- provide a communications link between local board members and the Legislative Resolutions Committee
- elect CASB directors
- keep CASB informed about issues of interest to local school boards
- provide written or oral testimony and input on critical issues
- adopt CASB's bylaws
- support CASB's activities and events

Table of Contents

2014 CASB Legislative Resolutions Committee	i
2014 Delegate Assembly Agenda	ii
Proposed Rules of Procedure	iii
Resolution Process	iv
Resolutions	
Presentation of Resolutions	1
Governance	2
Board Leadership	5
Finance	9
Student Achievement	21
Personnel	30
Community	34
Federal Issues	37
CASB Regions Map	42
CASB Board of Directors	44



2014 CASB Legislative Resolutions Committee

1

Chairman: Lyndon Burnett	Agate 300
Sean Ash	Weld RE-4
Jennie Belval	Boulder Valley School District
Sherryl Dillon	Cheyenne Mountain 12
Regina "Jo" Dorenkamp	Granda RE-1
Diane Fox	Montezuma-Cortez RE-1
Tina Freel	Moffat Consolidated #2
Ray Garcia	Mapleton Public Schools
Matthew Hamilton	Roaring Fork RE-1
Christi Herrick	Liberty J-4
Tracey Johnson	Academy 20
Debbie Lammers	St. Vrain Valley RE-1J
Cheryl Miller	Telluride R-1
Patricia Milner	Pueblo City Schools
Mary Nichols	Littleton Public Schools
Greg Piotraschke	School District 27J
Rosemary Rodriguez	Denver Public Schools
John Sampson	Strasburg 31J
Nancy Sarchet	Weld County RE-1
Donald Scott	East Grand School District
JulieMarie Shepherd	Aurora Public Schools
Nancy Tellez	Poudre R-1
Candace Velduizen	Weld County RE-3J
Erin Young	Summit RE-1

Ex-Officio

Jim O'Brien	Cherry Creek Schools
<i>FRN Chairperson</i>	
Jan Tanner	Colorado Springs D-11
<i>CASB Board President</i>	

Friends of Legislative Resolutions Committee

Dale McCall	Colorado BOCES Association
Paula Stephenson	Colorado Rural Schools Alliance

Colorado Council of School Board Attorneys

Jerome DeHererra	Denver Public Schools
David Olson	Colorado School District Self Insurance Pool
Adele Reester	Lyons Gaddis Kahn & Hall
Toni Wehman	Caplan and Earnest LLC

CASB Legislative Contacts

Kathleen Sullivan	CASB Chief Counsel
Jane W. Urschel, Ph.D.	CASB Deputy Executive Director
Michelle Murphy	Of Counsel

Agenda

8 – 9 a.m.	Delegate Assembly briefing
9 a.m. – Noon	Delegate Assembly convenes Report of the CASB President Report of the CASB Executive Director Adopt rules Presentation of report from Legislative Resolutions Committee
Noon	Assembly adjourns




Proposed Rules of Procedure for CASB Delegate Assembly

The rules are adopted at the onset of the deliberations of the Delegate Assembly, at which time they are subject to discussion and amendment.

The following rules of procedure are proposed for adoption by the Delegate Assembly:

1. Except as modified below, the assembly shall operate by the rules prescribed in “Robert’s Rules of Order, Newly Revised.”
2. Amendments to resolutions shall be in writing and presented to the CASB president or designee prior to discussion of the amendment in the Delegate Assembly.
3. In speaking to a motion, a delegate will be limited to three minutes. A delegate shall identify herself/himself before speaking on an issue.
4. A delegate who has spoken once on a question will not be recognized again for the same question until others who wish to speak have spoken. At that time, the delegate will be allowed two minutes for rebuttal.
5. All voting shall be by a show of hands or other provided voting mechanism. Upon request of a member of the Delegate Assembly or at the discretion of the presiding officer, tellers will count the vote. A quorum shall consist of the boards represented and voting.
6. Any resolution not published and distributed to members in this booklet shall be considered a resolution from the floor. To present a resolution from the floor, a delegate shall submit the proposed resolution in writing to the president or designee prior to consideration. If possible, the delegate shall provide sufficient copies of the resolution to be distributed to all delegates. When recognized by the president, the delegate shall make a motion that the resolution be considered. If the motion is seconded, the delegate offering the floor resolution shall be allowed three minutes to speak to the motion. The motion to consider a resolution from the floor requires an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the boards represented and voting. If the motion to consider passes, the floor resolution will then be considered on its merits in the same manner as any other resolution. A floor resolution adopted by the delegates will be considered an annual resolution.

Resolution Process



CASB Resolutions

Proposed September 27, 2014

CASB's standing resolutions represent philosophy and belief statements that are core to the work of local school boards. The legislative agenda establishes CASB's legislative priorities. These resolutions provide the foundation for CASB's advocacy work and demonstrate the ongoing commitment of local boards of education to fundamental principles and beliefs.

The control of public school systems is best governed by locally elected boards of education, and not legislated at the state or federal level. Local school boards are elected by their communities to ensure accountability in meeting student needs, provide effective oversight of educational programs and determine the efficient use of resources.

Governance

In accordance with the Colorado Constitution, Article IX, Sections 15 and 16, local boards of education are responsible for the organization of the learning environment; the implementation of curriculum; the selection of textbooks; and the configuration of school facilities, staffing and necessary programs in which to create safe and academically rich opportunities for students.

Standing resolutions

1.1 Local control

~~CASB believes~~ The principle of local control is derived from the involvement of the local community, and the local board is the sole and final guarantor of educational quality.

1.2 Diverse nature of school districts

~~CASB believes one of the major reasons~~ Local control of instruction is the most effective approach to school governance DUE TO the diverse nature of Colorado school districts.

1.3 Charter schools

~~CASB believes~~ The constitutional principle that local control of instruction is vested in locally elected boards of education means local boards must retain the requisite authority to approve, ~~continue~~ RENEW or ~~discontinue~~ NONRENEW charter schools and all local choice options. ~~CASB believes~~ Local boards recognize the benefits of positive relationships with charter schools and ~~will~~ make decisions that are in the best interests of their students.

1.4 Accountability program

~~CASB believes~~ It is the responsibility of each local board of education to monitor and report to the public with regard to the district's progress towards accomplishing LOCALLY DEFINED goals and objectives identified through the state's accountability program.

1.5 Choice with accountability

CASB supports the principle of school choice with accountability, believing that all education institutions supported by public funds should be required to meet the same legislatively established requirements as all other public schools. It is important that the demographic makeup of the local community is reflected in all schools of choice supported by public funds.

Rationale: Charter schools are eligible for waivers, and/or eligible to obtain waivers from numerous state and federal requirements including educator licensure, evaluation and dismissal. All public schools should have access to such waivers under the same standards applied to charter schools.

Legislative agenda

1.6 Local control

CASB opposes efforts to shift control over public schools away from local boards of education, whether by state or federal legislative action, regulation, court decision or initiative. CASB supports efforts to maintain the decision-making authority of locally elected school boards.

Rationale: Control of instruction is vested in local boards of education by Article IX, Section 15 of the Colorado Constitution. Local boards of education are accountable to the local electorate and are in the best position to make decisions regarding curriculum, textbooks and materials, instructional delivery, personnel, fiscal management and local choice options. CASB's legislative efforts should focus on protecting local decision-making authority by opposing "one-size-fits-all" state laws.

1.7 Respect unique differences among school districts

CASB opposes the imposition of universal solutions in response to district-specific issues.

Rationale: School districts in Colorado are unique and extremely diverse, ranging from urban and suburban districts to small rural districts. Beyond the size differences, school districts have different technology resources, student populations and staffing structures. This diversity is a primary reason that local control of instruction is the most effective approach to school governance in Colorado.

1.8 Colorado Department of Education

CASB supports the Colorado Department of Education's work to provide technical assistance, support and training to all school districts as they work to implement state reforms. CASB will continue to partner with the state to develop and provide resources to help local boards of education understand the requirements of state laws, as well as their role in local implementation.

Rationale: Under the leadership of Commissioner Robert Hammond, the Colorado Department of Education is providing technical assistance and support to school districts rather than functioning solely as a compliance agency. CASB appreciates the department's collaborative approach to this work and will continue to partner with the department to help local school boards better understand their role in implementing state laws.

1.9 Focus new laws on desired outcomes

CASB ~~urges the General Assembly to refrain from enacting~~ OPPOSES prescriptive legislation that restricts ~~the ability of school districts' to maintain~~ autonomy in developing and utilizing innovative methods to achieve educational goals. State policymakers MAY SPECIFY DESIRED OUTCOMES BUT SCHOOL DISTRICTS MUST RETAIN THE AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE ~~CASB urges state policymakers to specify the outcomes desired in legislation rather than the means by which the~~ THOSE outcomes will be accomplished.

Rationale: An outcome-based approach to legislation allows districts to determine the best way to achieve the stated goals in a way that makes the most sense locally.

1.10 Charter schools

CASB urges the General Assembly to re-establish a local board's authority in charter school matters, by authorizing the local board to make ~~such~~ decisions related to district charter schools without reversal by the state board absent substantial evidence of an arbitrary or capricious decision by the local board.

Rationale: Members of local school boards are in the best position to evaluate the costs and benefits of proposed charter schools within their communities. Those decisions should receive deference from the State Board of Education, given the Colorado Constitution's provisions concerning local control of instruction. Relationships between district charter schools, the local board of education and the community will benefit if issues are worked out locally without undue intervention from the state.

1.11 Flexibility in new laws and regulations

CASB urges state policymakers, including the General Assembly, the State Board of Education and the Colorado Department of Education, to ensure that new laws and regulations will give particular consideration to the unique needs of small and rural school districts, allowing the flexibility these communities may require for sensible implementation.

Rationale: Though Colorado's population center is in the Front Range metropolitan areas, Colorado's cultural richness comes, too, from its small and rural communities. State policymakers often do not consider this diversity and develop laws and regulations without sufficient regard for the needs of small and rural school districts. With thoughtful development of law and regulation, state policymakers can liberate small and rural districts to focus on achieving results for students rather than crafting contortionist responses to state mandates.

Board Leadership

Boards of education demonstrate responsible, ethical and professional leadership in all aspects of their work.

Standing resolutions

2.1 Open deliberation

CASB encourages open deliberation by boards of education, in accordance with the spirit and intent of state law.

2.2 School board training

CASB encourages SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS TO RECEIVE ONGOING training and professional development IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPLEX ISSUES RELATED TO PUBLIC EDUCATION. CASB SUPPORTS SUCH TRAINING through STATE AND REGIONAL workshops, conferences and governance development opportunities.

2.3 Leadership team

CASB supports the incorporation of the superintendent in board of education training and team building as a means of augmenting the work of the school district.

2.4 Leadership in planning and budgeting

CASB encourages board of education leadership in strategic planning and budget development that reflects the community's values and diverse needs.

2.5 Participation in organizations supportive of public education

CASB encourages boards of education to participate in and support professional activities and organizations that provide unified leadership for grassroots support and advocacy for public education.

2.6 Participation in the political process

CASB encourages school board members to actively engage in the political process to influence decision makers in support of public education AND INVITE POLICYMAKERS INTO THEIR DISTRICTS TO HIGHLIGHT LOCAL SUCCESSES AND PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CHALLENGES CREATED BY OVERREACHING POLICIES AND UNFUNDED MANDATES.

Rationale for revision: School board members are increasingly engaging their legislators and, by doing so, have begun to positively influence public policy and shape legislation like never before. School board members must continue and expand this effort by inviting legislators into their districts to highlight their success and increase awareness of the serious and unique challenges each district is facing as they work to implement current state mandates with limited resources.

2.7 Student involvement in governance

CASB encourages local boards of education to examine best practices for considering student opinion when making decisions at the school and district level.

2.8 Scheduling of school activities

CASB encourages local school boards and the Colorado High School Activities Association to demonstrate a commitment to diversity by refraining from scheduling events that might interfere with a local student's ability to observe a major spiritual holiday.

Rationale for deletion: Moved to 6.4

2.9 Greening of schools

CASB supports the leadership of local boards of education in their efforts to adopt and implement energy management and conservation plans for the purpose of reducing energy consumption, increasing energy efficiency and increasing the use of renewable energy sources.

**2.10 Promote social and cultural proficiency**

CASB encourages boards of education to recognize and celebrate the diverse social and cultural backgrounds of all students, parents/guardians and district personnel and to make a conscious effort to build healthy and diverse teaching and learning environments where all people feel welcome and are treated with dignity and respect.

Rationale: Diversity enriches the educational experience and promotes personal growth and a healthy society by challenging stereotypes, encouraging critical thinking and helping students and staff learn to communicate effectively with people of varied backgrounds. Diversity enhances America's economic competitiveness. Sustaining the nation's prosperity in the 21st century requires us to make effective use of the talents and abilities of all our citizens in work settings that bring together individuals from diverse backgrounds and cultures.

Submitted by Colorado Springs D-11

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee

Legislative agenda**2.11 Political party affiliation**

CASB opposes any effort to have school board director candidates stand for election based upon a political party platform or affiliation.

Rationale: It is not in the best interest of public education to have partisan politics become a factor in school board elections or decision-making. Education of children should not become a political issue. It is important that school board candidates make their views on K-12 education known prior to the election and not be bound by a political party platform.

2.12 Term limitation: local action

CASB opposes the limitation of two terms that may be served by a school board member and encourages local boards to submit a question to their electors to extend the number of terms for school board directors in keeping with their local communities.

Rationale: Colorado is one of only a handful of states to impose term limits on local officials. Term limits have an impact on local school boards, as school board members who are still willing and able to serve are unable to seek office after serving two terms. As uncompensated volunteers, school board members acquire essential skills and knowledge during their service and this expertise is lost when experienced members are barred from continuing to serve.

2.13 High cost of conducting elections

CASB urges the General Assembly to address issues that contribute to the escalating costs of conducting local elections with the goal of reducing these expenses. Because the election process is fundamental in our democracy, CASB urges the state to subsidize the cost of conducting elections at the local level because of the significant financial impact of elections on local entities such as school districts that participate in the process but have no say over factors related to cost nor an ability to forecast how much to budget for an election because of all the variables involved.

Rationale: School districts are required by state law to pay a pro rata share of a county’s election expenses following any election in which they have candidates or issues on the ballot. This is an expense that cannot be accurately forecast for budget purposes because it varies from county to county and from election to election. Among the many factors that determine how much the election will cost in any year are the number of public entities that participate in the election and whether the state will have a question on the ballot. The current system for allocating election expenses needs a massive overhaul that includes a new funding mechanism and changes to law that will result in cost savings.

2.14 Student health and wellness

~~CASB believes that~~ Responsibility and oversight of student health and wellness programs, including nutritional standards and physical education, should be governed primarily by local boards of education. CASB OPPOSES STATE NUTRITIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND encourages local boards of education to develop student health and wellness policies and programs based on the diverse needs of their students and the values of their communities. CASB URGES THE STATE TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO MAXIMIZE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS’ FLEXIBILITY THROUGH WAIVERS FROM FEDERAL NUTRITIONAL AND OTHER HEALTH AND WELLNESS REQUIREMENTS.

*Revisions submitted by Woodlin R-104
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*

Rationale: Increasingly, state and federal laws mandate nutrition, health and wellness standards for local school districts. Many of these well-intended programs are drastically underfunded and do not align with the local needs and values of students and their families. School districts should have the flexibility to engage local stakeholders as they work to develop health and wellness policies. Certain federal laws, including the Healthy, Hunger-Free Schools Act, allow states to grant their local districts waivers from certain federal requirements. CASB urges the state to take any and all action necessary to maximize local flexibility through waivers from the Healthy, Hunger-Free Schools Act and other federal health and wellness requirements.

2.15 Student health, safety and achievement

CASB recognizes the close correlation between student health and safety, and achievement. CASB supports alignment between and among state and local organizations around issues of student health and safety.

Rationale: Students must feel healthy, safe and supported in order to achieve. As districts strive to create and sustain safe and positive learning environments for all individuals, CASB and local districts should work with state and local partner organizations to increase awareness, implement programs and generate resources to enhance student health and safety.

2.16 Behavioral health programs

CASB recognizes students and school district employees have behavioral (mental) health needs that impact the health and safety of school district communities. Local boards are best positioned to determine the extent of those needs and the means and priority of addressing those needs within the K-12 setting through use of education and other community resources. CASB supports alignment between and among state and local organizations around issues of behavioral health.

Rationale: As districts strive to create and sustain safe and positive learning environments for all individuals, CASB and local boards should work with state and local partner organizations to increase awareness of behavioral health needs, implement effective programs, and generate new sources of revenue to support such efforts.

2.17 Coalition for state ballot measure regarding term limits

CASB supports a statewide ballot initiative to remove Colorado's constitutionally imposed term limits for locally elected school directors and other locally elected officials.

Rationale: As recognized in Resolution 2.11, Colorado is one of only a handful of states to impose term limits on local officials. Given the ever-rising costs of local elections and the immense work to be done locally, it is difficult for local school boards to put a term limits exemption on the local ballot and get it passed. An efficient means to solve this ongoing and increasing problem would be a statewide ballot measure in partnership with the Colorado Municipal League and Special District Association of Colorado, among others, carving out school board members and other local officials.

Finance

The state must provide school districts with adequate funding from a reliable source pursuant to a formula that balances state and local revenue sources and is structured to equitably meet the educational needs of all students served by public schools in Colorado.

Standing resolutions

3.1 Use of public moneys for private education programs

~~CASB believes~~ The use of public moneys for private educational programs requires adherence to uniform legislated standards and assessment, accreditation requirements and nondiscrimination in student enrollment and employment policies.

3.2 Amendment 23 AND THE NEGATIVE FACTOR

~~CASB reiterates that~~ The purpose and intent of voter support for Amendment 23 was to phase in school funding to the level that existed in 1988, and should not be construed as adequate and equitable funding. PROVIDE STABLE AND PREDICTABLE FUNDING INCREASES FOR COLORADO SCHOOL DISTRICTS. THE APPLICATION OF THE “NEGATIVE FACTOR” BEGINNING IN THE 2009-10 SCHOOL YEAR FORCED ALL COLORADO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO MAKE CUTS TO IMPORTANT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND DESTROYED THE EQUITIES BUILT INTO THE SCHOOL FINANCE FUNDING FORMULA. MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE NEGATIVE FACTOR VIOLATES AMENDMENT 23.

3.3 Needs of students guide reform, finance

~~CASB believes~~ All efforts to restructure and fund public education should be guided by student needs, improved academic achievement and responsible use of financial resources as determined by the locally elected school board, which is accountable to its voters and best able to identify community and district funding priorities.

Legislative agenda

3.4 School finance

CASB supports comprehensive reform of Colorado’s school finance structure that ADDRESSES THE UNIQUE AND CHANGING NEEDS OF LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO include:

- a) Per-pupil funding to a level necessary for a ~~regular~~ student ~~(a student without any special needs)~~ to meet ~~state and local academic content~~ THE COLORADO ACADEMIC Standards and other legislated accountability requirements;
- b) ~~Allocating adequate additional funding to support the added costs of delivery of services associated with the school district or the student that require supplementary expenditures, including special education and English Language Learners~~ ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PER-PUPIL BASE FUNDING TO EQUITABLY REFLECT ADDED COSTS OF EDUCATION FOR STUDENTS WITH RISK FACTORS;

- c) ~~Protecting~~ Adjustments to the per-student PUPIL base cost FUNDING ~~that should be~~ based on verifiable indicators that impose costs ~~that are~~ beyond a district's control, such as district size ~~and cost of living~~;
- d) Identification and implementation of a consistent definition for determining the actual number of "at-risk" students for funding purposes USING A GRADUATED FUNDING SCALE;
- e) Funding for factors and categorical programs, INCLUDING FUNDING FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS, AT-RISK STUDENTS, GIFTED STUDENTS, SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENTS AND CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS, at a level sufficient to cover the costs associated with providing services to students served by those programs;
- f) Funding for transportation that takes into consideration the unique circumstances districts face in transporting students;
- g) Funding for costs associated with enrollment fluctuations and averaging for declining-enrollment districts;
- h) Preservation of local control when allocating resources and flexibility when implementing mandated programs;
- i) Funding for full-day kindergarten, early childhood education and alternative education to ensure that all children have a proper educational foundation to be successful in school;
- j) Adjustment to the per-pupil base funding for school districts to reflect the impact to school districts of public school choice, including, but not limited to the impacts resulting from an immediate loss of student enrollment as well as impacts related to the ongoing oversight of choice programs;
- k) An increase in funding for the lowest funded or "floor" school districts to address the disparity in per-pupil funding among Colorado school districts;
- l) Long-term remedies for funding public education in Colorado, including a solution to the predicted insolvency of the State Education Fund;
- m) A "hold harmless" provision for any changes made to the calculations of school finance per-pupil revenue, factors or categorical so that there are not winners and losers during these difficult budget times.
- n) A "HOLD HARMLESS" PROVISION FOR ENROLLMENT DECREASES IN SCHOOL DISTRICTS WITH 50 TO 200 STUDENTS;
- o) ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PER-PUPIL BASE FUNDING TO REFLECT COSTS RESULTING FROM DISTRICTS' UNIQUE GEOGRAPHY.

Rationale for revisions to sections (a) and (b): Effective education must meet the needs of all students, including students with special needs, at-risk students, English language learners and/or gifted students. However, since 2004, enrollment has grown by 119,331 (16 percent), the number of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch has jumped by 139,074 (61 percent) and enrollment of English language learners is up 34,999 students (38 percent). The current funding formula does not attend to the concentrations of risk factors and changing demographics of current student populations and, as a result, does not equitably address the needs of school districts across the state. The severe under-funding of categorical programs significantly impacts local districts' ability to fund necessary educational programs. Increases in state funding for these programs/populations should be distributed to districts through annual increases to the appropriate factor/categorical.

Rationale for revisions to section (c): Although the current funding formula was satisfactory when it was developed, the new realities for Colorado's school districts are not adequately addressed. The cost of living factor, in particular, is no longer equitable, as it now costs more to educate students in rural districts. If we protect all the current factors we can't adjust the finance formula as necessary to meet the needs of all students.

Revisions to sections (a), (b) and (c) submitted by Mapleton Public Schools

Rationale for revisions to section (e): Specific categorical programs are delineated for clarity.

Rationale for new section (n): In 2013 the General Assembly established the minimum funded pupil count for any school district at 50. To recognize the challenges of operating a small school district, this resolution supports the establishment of a minimum funded pupil count for any school district with pupil counts from 50 to 200 at their actual enrollment levels for 2014-15. Districts in that enrollment category would receive additional funding if their enrollment increases in subsequent years, but their funding would not decrease if enrollment drops below 2014-15 levels.

Rationale for new section (o): Local geography often results in increased costs for school districts that are not addressed in the current formula. For example, a rural district with a large population center that also includes small, geographically remote communities does not have the benefit of the increased PPR provided to smaller districts.

***Sections (a), (b), (e), (n) and (o) forwarded with recommendation
by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***

***Section (c) forwarded for discussion on the merits
by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***

3.5 Unfunded mandates

CASB urges the General Assembly to ~~make~~ FULLY FUND THE IMPLEMENTATION COSTS FOR ANY STATUTORY OR REGULATORY MANDATES IMPOSED ON SCHOOLS AND DISTRICTS. Compliance with new laws SHOULD BE conditional upon receipt of sufficient funding from the state. School districts should be given the option to partially implement programs to the extent of state funding allocated for this purpose.

Rationale: Every year the state and federal governments pass legislation requiring action by local school districts, without providing funding for these mandates. Without full reimbursement, districts must cut other vital programs to meet the increased costs to stay within the changing legal mandates. It is unrealistic to expect local governments to continue to absorb new requirements that are not fully funded. It is reasonable to expect that if lawmakers fail to provide sufficient funding to implement a mandate, they should not be in a position to enforce the requirement.

***Revision submitted by Falcon School District 49
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***

3.6 Special education

CASB urges the General Assembly to make increased funding for special education a priority until such time as funding is adequate to meet the needs of special education students without diverting funds from other important student services and programs that benefit all students.

Rationale: Inadequate state and federal special education funding results in local districts subsidizing special education costs. The financial impact on school districts from insufficient funding for this state and federal mandate is profound.

3.7 Out-of-district placements

CASB supports full reimbursement by the state of all actual costs of instruction to school districts for nonresident children who are placed by the courts, a government ENTITY, or nonprofit or for-profit agencies in group homes, foster homes or detention facilities within their boundaries or institutions outside of the district.

Rationale: Issues related to students in foster care, group homes and detention facilities have a financial impact on school districts, particularly smaller districts in rural areas. Often these students are sent to foster homes in mid-year or even at the end of the year and have severe problems that require immediate placement into treatment facilities. This is a significant expense for school districts.

3.8 Tuition charge for excess costs

CASB urges the General Assembly to address the financial burden the tuition charge for the excess costs incurred in educating a child with a disability places on the district of residence when parents choose to have their child attend a school other than a school in the district of residence.

Rationale: Because the special education program has never been fully funded by the state and federal government, it must be subsidized to a large extent by a local school district's general fund. As opportunities for education choice continue to expand, the issues associated with DETERMINING which entity is ultimately required to subsidize the unreimbursed expenses associated with special education can be a source of friction between the district of residence and the school the child attends.

3.9 High-cost grant program for special education

Until such time as special education costs are fully reimbursed by the state and federal government, CASB supports increased funding for the high-cost grant program to assist districts in meeting the needs of special education students with severe needs.

Rationale: In the 2006 legislative session, the state created a program to address the expense of high-cost special education students that begins to address this important issue. Although the high-cost pool does not by any means solve the issue, it is an important step and the first of its kind at the state level in Colorado.

3.10 Capital facility needs

CASB urges the General Assembly to ~~maintain current funding levels for~~ ENSURE ADEQUATE FUNDING FOR the Building Excellent Schools Today (BEST) grant program and to increase the program's statutory capacity to allow for the funding of additional matching grants, so long as such action does not threaten the viability of the school land trust and/or other programs funded by the trust.

Rationale: The BEST program was enacted in 2008 to address the disparity among school districts with regard to their ability to pay for capital facilities. Despite its great success, the legislature has implemented increased oversight over BEST funds and failed to increase the statutory cap. As a result, BEST can no longer issue matching grants for new school construction or major renovation projects. Moreover, the revenue generated for BEST by the excise tax on marijuana sales is considerably less than expected and, during the 2014 session, the legislature directed that 12.5 percent of that money be diverted to a fund to support charter school construction in violation of proposition AA and Amendment 64.

3.11 Tax policy reform

CASB urges the General Assembly to commit to finding and placing on the ballot a fair and equitable solution to ~~the state of~~ Colorado's fiscal crisis caused by the following conflicting amendments to the state's constitution: TABOR, Amendment 23 and the Gallagher Amendment.

Rationale: Finding an answer to improved financial support for schools can't be a reality until a solution to the TABOR/Gallagher/Amendment 23 conflict is resolved. Over time, more and more of the responsibility for funding public schools has shifted to the state budget because of these provisions in our state constitution, which also increases the temptation by state policymakers to make decisions that properly belong with the locally elected board of education. In addition, due to the Gallagher Amendment, property taxes for the business community are at a rate nearly four times that placed on residential property owners, often making it difficult for school districts to garner the support of the business community when going to the voters for a mill levy increase. These and other fiscal constraints are compromising the state's ability to provide basic services to its citizens and must be addressed.

3.12 Double TABOR reserve

CASB supports an amendment to the state constitution exempting state money appropriated for school finance from local TABOR reserve requirements because the effect is a double TABOR reserve.

Rationale: Currently, the state must reserve 3 percent of its budget to fulfill the emergency reserve requirements of the TABOR amendment. Each school district also reserves 3 percent of its funds for this purpose. The School Finance Act allows school districts to fulfill their TABOR reserve by leveraging property in lieu of cash reserves. However, the constitutional requirement for a reserve is still in effect. Thus, much of the money that schools receive is reserved at what amounts to a 6 percent rate. Because the state portion of per-pupil operating revenue is merely a transfer of tax money from one government entity to another, it should not be subjected to the same reserve requirement twice.

3.13 School trust lands

CASB supports efforts by a coalition of stakeholders interested in optimizing all aspects of the school trust lands including improving the management and accountability for such lands so that they provide a more substantial and reliable source of revenue over time for the support of public schools.

Rationale: In 1876, when Colorado became a state, the federal government in the state's Enabling Act, granted sections 16 and 36 in every township, or approximately 4.4 million acres, to the state for the support of common schools. The Colorado State Board of Land Commissioners (State Land Board) manages the school trust lands and the state treasurer manages the permanent fund. A coalition has been formed to review issues related to the school trust lands with the goal of increasing revenues for schools. There are both short-term and long-term goals that have surfaced in the discussion. The coalition has had some success with the legislature aimed at increasing the corpus of the permanent fund. However, as part of their effort to balance the state budget, the 2009, 2010 and 2011 legislatures passed legislation that diverts interest income from the permanent fund for fiscal year 2008-09 through fiscal year 2012-13. It is important to protect the corpus of this trust for the benefit of future generations of children.

3.14 Sales and use tax exemption for school construction

CASB encourages legislation that would make school building construction materials exempt from local sales and use taxes.

Rationale: Current financial conditions make it imperative that school districts maximize the value received for all of their expenditures, including investments in capital facilities. Some local governments choose to grant a tax exemption only if these materials are purchased directly by a school district, and impose taxes if building supplies are purchased by a school district's contractor. This practice adds substantial additional costs to school construction projects and can result in a reduction in the size and quality of school facilities promised to taxpayers. Imposition of these taxes upon contractors fails to recognize that school districts lack qualified staff and sufficient human resources to directly make these purchases. Eliminating this loophole will allow school districts to honor facilities commitments made to taxpayers while placing districts and local governments on equal footing in negotiating joint development and use of these facilities.

3.15 Program funding for English language acquisition

CASB urges the General Assembly to increase categorical funding for English language acquisition programs so school districts can better meet the needs of students and the expectations for English language competency imposed by state and federal accountability requirements.

Rationale: State funding for English language acquisition programs covers only a small portion of the cost of providing ELA programs in local school districts. Even with the additional funding provided in 2014 session, state funding has not kept pace with the growing demand for ELA programs as the needs and complexities of services required to serve English language learners continues to increase. The severe lack of state funding for this important categorical program significantly impacts local districts' ability to fund other educational programs.

Rationale for deletion: Additional funding for English Language Learners is addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.

3.16 Financial support of districts with declining enrollment

CASB urges the General Assembly to provide financial relief and additional resources to support declining enrollment school districts.

Rationale: Demographic changes within the school district are not within the control of a board of education. Each year a board in a district with declining enrollment must consider budget reallocations to offset the loss in per-pupil revenue from declining enrollment. Many of these costs cannot be simply reduced and must be part of a long-range plan including facility needs and personnel. While the General Assembly did add a declining enrollment factor to the School Finance Act, additional remedies to bridge the funding gap must be found, including incentives for cooperation across school districts and/or simplification of the voluntary consolidation process, where feasible.

3.17 Funding for transportation

CASB supports increased funding for transportation because district-provided transportation is essential for student access to education.

Rationale: With the high costs of fuel and related expenses, transportation costs exceed current categorical funding. This has a significant impact on a school district's general fund budget, particularly in school districts that must transport students over vast geographic areas. Although state law now allows school districts to seek additional local revenues for excess costs associated with transporting students, it is not realistic for many communities to seek a property tax increase from voters. Some local districts have been forced to add transportation fees that increase administrative burdens and adversely impact Colorado families.

Rationale for deletion: Increased funding for transportation is addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.

3.18 Gaming impact grants

CASB urges the General Assembly to make local school districts an "eligible local governmental entity" as that term is defined in state law to receive distribution of the Department of Local Affairs (DOLA) gaming impact funds.

Rationale: Gaming impacts some school districts in the state, particularly those in the regions near where gaming is allowed. These impacts include nontraditional employment hours of parents that can affect student-parent interaction and parental involvement in their child's learning.

3.19 Transparency in school district budgets

To foster a better and more complete understanding of government in action, CASB ~~encourages school districts to take affirmative steps to~~ SUPPORTS THE use OF modern technology to inform citizens about how SCHOOL DISTRICTS prioritize and expend public funds BUT ONLY to the extent THAT ongoing and timely disclosure of information can be provided without creating an undue administrative and economic burden ON LOCAL DISTRICTS, PARTICULARLY SMALL DISTRICTS WITH LIMITED STAFF TO SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS.

Rationale: As public entities, school districts are subject to laws requiring that records relating to expenditure of public funds are posted on their website and open to public inspection upon request. In 2014, the legislature amended current transparency laws to require, among other things, increased reporting at the district and school site level, as well as the creation of a state-level website that will translate and present local districts' data to ensure clarity and comparability by laypersons. CASB will monitor the implementation of these requirements in an effort to limit the increased burden on school districts, particularly small districts with limited staff available to satisfy the new requirements.

~~3.20 Funding for full-day kindergarten~~

~~CASB urges the General Assembly to increase state funding to support full-day kindergarten for all students.~~

~~*Rationale: Research and practice strongly suggest full-day kindergarten programs are more beneficial than half-day programs with the benefit being most profound for low-income students. Colorado has made significant investments in full-day kindergarten as a means of providing high-quality education opportunities to young children and better preparing them for success throughout their academic careers. Although the state has made, and continues to face budget cuts, it is important to maintain a commitment to full-day kindergarten due to the long-term benefits it provides.*~~

~~*Rationale for deletion: Additional funding to support full day kindergarten is addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.*~~

3.21 Tax increment financing

CASB supports the creation of urban renewal authorities, specifically the use of tax increment financing (TIF) by such districts, under the condition that the local governmental entity proposing a TIF: 1) consults and communicates with the affected school district(s) in a timely manner prior to the use of a financing mechanism such as a TIF which reduces local property tax collections; 2) discloses the financial impact prior to the approval of an urban renewal authority by a local town or city council; and 3) seeks written final approval from the impacted school district(s) of the TIF formula prior to the finalization of the TIF vehicle.

Rationale: Currently the law provides for school districts to act in an advisory capacity, giving school districts little or no voice regarding the final outcome when an urban renewal authority proposes the use of tax increment financing. School districts must have a greater voice in the final say of a financial vehicle such as a TIF that will impact the taxpayers in their district.

3.22 Focus on solution to fiscal crisis not unfunded mandate

CASB urges the General Assembly to focus its efforts on creating a solution to the underlying problems of the state's financial difficulties and to refrain from passing any new education-related legislation and/or additional unfunded mandates, except for legislation that would positively impact school funding, especially for P-12.

Rationale: Though school funding increased slightly in the 2014-15 school year, many districts are still facing budget cuts to respond to increased costs, particularly around implementing legislative initiatives from the last several years and/or declining enrollments. This is not the time to increase burdens on districts by creating additional unfunded mandates and/or creating winners and losers by redistributing limited funds between school finance formula factors, categorical programs or districts.

3.23 Protecting local mill levy revenue

CASB opposes any action of the General Assembly that would reduce the amount school districts are able to raise via mill levy overrides.

Rationale: Should the General Assembly change the way total program funding is calculated in order to reduce the base and thereby have a lower level for calculating future K-12 funding increases, not only would it take longer for school districts to get back to 2007-08 funding levels, but it would also negatively impact existing or future mill levy overrides.

3.24 ELIMINATE THE NEGATIVE FACTOR AND Restore per-pupil funding

As statewide revenues increase, CASB urges the General Assembly to ELIMINATE THE NEGATIVE FACTOR AND restore per-pupil funding ~~before funding mandates. The State should restore per-pupil funding;~~ rather than targeting funds for specific mandates, to allow local districts to fund and/or restore programs consistent with their local community values and unique district needs.

Rationale: All districts have been impacted by the reductions to K-12 funding in recent years, but each district has made different cuts depending on their local needs and circumstances. As districts continue to consider budget cuts, state-imposed mandates have increased local program and reporting obligations.

3.25 Revenue study

~~CASB believes~~ It is both the responsibility and the privilege of the General Assembly to lead Colorado through these turbulent economic times. CASB requests the legislature complete a detailed study that identifies and provides for all potential sources of revenue at the state level and report back to the General Assembly and the public at large.

Rationale: K-12 education has experienced drastic budget cuts, which have impacted every school district in the state. These reductions in funding are detrimental to school districts and student learning at a time when accountability and standards have increased. The current level of funding does not support the learning objectives, meet the constitutional objectives or support all students' needs, and additional funding sources need to be identified.

3.26 At-risk student funding

CASB opposes reductions to at-risk student funding as a means to reduce overall total program spending and urges the General Assembly to increase state funding for this vulnerable student population. CASB supports graduated funding for low-income students to ensure they receive the support they need.

Rationale: State expenditures for at-risk students have never been sufficient to enable local districts to meet the needs of the at-risk student population. Reducing funding for at-risk students at a time when more rigorous standards are being implemented could seriously compromise services for all students. Also, a graduated scale for determining funding eligibility will better recognize that students who narrowly miss the cut-off income threshold likely still have unique needs that may require additional expenditures to meet.

Rationale for deletion: Additional funding for at-risk students and the need to revise the definition of at-risk students are addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.

3.27 Align education and behavioral health funding

CASB urges the General Assembly to align existing state law and regulation to enable school districts to partner with other state agencies to access state funding to serve students with significant behavioral health needs.

Rationale: Currently, school districts receive some state and federal funding to serve students with special needs, including behavioral (mental) health needs. This funding falls far short of the actual costs of a child's education and related services mandated by federal and state law. Colorado's Child Mental Health Treatment Act, administered by the Colorado Department of Human Services, provides funding for students with mental health needs to access community, residential and transitional treatment services. However, this funding may only be secured if the family pursues the services, not when those services are recognized as essential by the local school district. Parents and parent advocates often refuse to access this funding because of a mistaken belief that it limits their ability to select how and where those services can be provided. Aligning these funding streams will ensure students receive necessary behavioral health services while ensuring efficient use of state and local resources.

3.28 Career and technical education funding

CASB opposes reductions to career and technical education funding as a means to reduce overall total program spending and urges the General Assembly to increase state funding to support students' multiple pathways into the workforce and postsecondary success.

Rationale: State funding is an essential component of ensuring local communities can support programs to equip students with the skills to meet the needs of Colorado's communities, businesses and evolving economy.

Rationale for deletion: Additional funding for career and technical education programs is addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.

3.29 Bond capacity in growing districts

CASB encourages the legislature to amend the statutory limit of bonded indebtedness to allow districts additional bonding capacity based on a district's average growth percentages.

Rationale for deletion: Accomplished by Statute in 2014.

3.30 Gifted and talented education funding

CASB urges the General Assembly to make increased funding for gifted and talented education a priority until such time as funding is adequate to meet the needs of gifted and talented students without diverting funds from other important student services and programs that benefit all students.

Rationale: During the 2014 session, the General Assembly adopted new directives for gifted and talented programs and allocated limited funds to support districts/AU's implementation of those requirements. The current funding levels for GT programs fall far short of what is required to educate these students statewide. Effective education in Colorado must meet the needs of all students, including students whose advanced abilities present unique learning needs.

Rationale for deletion: Additional funding for gifted education is addressed in Resolution 3.4. This revision does not reflect a change in CASB's position on this issue.

3.31 Restoration ELIMINATION of the Negative Factor

In order to ensure resources for quality K-12 education in our state CASB calls upon the Colorado General Assembly to honor the intent and language of Amendment 23 by making its highest priority to immediately eliminate the negative factor in K-12 education funding and restore funds lost due to its use to date. We call upon the legislature to embrace their commitment to education in Colorado and restore the lost funds within the next 5 FOUR years.

Rationale: In 2000, the voters approved Amendment 23, a constitutional change requiring K-12 funding to increase by inflation plus 1 percent from 2001 through 2011 and thereafter by inflation. In 2009, the legislature reinterpreted Amendment 23 to apply only to base per-pupil funding, but not to the factors (variables) such as size, cost of living and number of at-risk students in a district. Also, the legislature established a new negative factor deemed "budget stabilization" to make across-the-board cuts to education spending. With the negative factor as a constant, all districts suffer. Now, as state revenues are increasing, the legislature must make it a priority to eliminate the negative factor and return to the intent of Amendment 23.

Annual Resolutions

3.32 Student count

CASB urges the General Assembly to direct the Colorado Department of Education to collaborate with district and charter school boards of education and superintendents, as well as their professional associations, to develop a system for counting student enrollment that is more equitable than the current single-day "October count" model.

Rationale: An alternate student count system; e.g. adding a second enrollment count day in February, would allow districts to make mid-term adjustments when students come and go during the school year. Educating children isn't based on an annual decision. Month-to-month or day-to-day decisions are necessary and may require different resources. Many school districts recognize a significant amount of growth after the October count. Educational funding should be supported for those new students.



*Submitted by Falcon School District 49
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*



3.33 “Sin tax” initiatives

CASB opposes citizen-led initiatives to fund K-12 education with revenues from “sin taxes,” dollars generated from sources that school districts generally do not support expanding or promoting, e.g. recreational marijuana, alcohol sales and gambling.

Rationale: The voters have approved an amendment to the state Constitution that legalized recreational marijuana use and its promise to provide approximately \$40 million dollars for K-12 funding. Prior to that, there had been a history of proposing K-12 funding from “sin tax” revenue collections. This year, voters will consider a citizen-led initiative to provide additional funding directly to local school districts from revenues generated by expanded gaming activities in the state. Including education funding in these initiatives helps to persuade the public to pass such “sin taxes.” However, this fragmented approach to funding K-12 education constitutes bribing the electorate. Education stakeholders are rarely included in the decision to add these funding resources. This approach is not a solution to the state’s continual underfunding of K-12 education. Furthermore, these initiatives frequently prescribe how the generated monies should be used, end up supplanting (NOT supplementing) current school finance funding, and fall short of generating projected income.

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee

Student Achievement

The core responsibility of a local school board is to adopt and implement policies and practices that increase student achievement.

Standing resolutions

4.1 Standards-based education

CASB endorses the COLORADO ACADEMIC STANDARDS ~~state model content standards~~ and encourages local districts to develop and adopt local content standards THAT MEET OR EXCEED THE COLORADO ACADEMIC STANDARDS and IMPLEMENT FORMATIVE AND SUMMATIVE ~~performance-based~~ assessments to cause improvement in student academic achievement that will allow Colorado students to compete with students throughout the world.

Rationale for revision: In the 1990s, CASB delegates adopted a resolution endorsing local content standards and, subsequently in 1999, the delegates revised that resolution to endorse the state's model content standards. The current revisions are necessary to reflect the adoption of the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) by the State Board in 2009, pursuant to CAP4K, in English language proficiency and 10 content areas: dance, drama and theater arts, mathematics, music, reading, writing and communicating, science, social studies, visual arts and world languages. In 2010, the CAS for mathematics and English language arts were revised to incorporate the Common Core Standards after the State Board determined that the Common Core Standards were aligned with the CAS and reflected Colorado's focus on college and career readiness and the values of the educators who developed the CAS. By law, all Colorado districts were required to implement the CAS, or standards that meet or exceed CAS, in the 2013-14 school year.

4.2 Purpose of educational accountability system

~~CASB believes~~ The purpose of the educational accountability system is to support districts and schools in ensuring that all students meet the state's academic standards and that those students who have done so continue to progress.

4.3 State data collection

~~CASB believes~~ An effective state data collection system must directly support student learning, align with all state-level data collection systems, eliminate redundancies and minimize the burden on local school districts.

4.4 Improvement of low-performing schools and districts

~~CASB believes~~ It is crucial that low-performing schools and districts receive all the necessary resources, support, time and flexibility needed to improve student achievement.

4.5 Dialogue between P-12 and higher education

~~CASB believes~~ Ongoing dialogue between early childhood education systems, P-12 schools and postsecondary institutions is necessary to ensure that all students have the opportunities and skills needed for success.

Legislative agenda

4.6 High school graduation requirements

CASB opposes any effort by state policymakers to set uniform high school graduation requirements because it is a violation of the state constitutional principle of local control of instruction.

Rationale: In May 2013, pursuant to CAP4K legislation passed in 2008, the State Board adopted graduation guidelines, which were based on the recommendations of the graduation guidelines advisory council. Under the law, local boards are required to engage their communities and adopt graduation requirements that meet or exceed the State Board's guidelines prior to the 2014-15 school year. The current graduation guidelines, which were not subjected to the full rule-making process, establish minimum requirements for local board's graduation policies and leave little room for true community engagement on this issue. Notably, due to the phased-in approach taken by the State Board, no significant changes in most boards' graduation policies are required in 2014-15 to comply with the guidelines. CASB will continue to fight for the restoration of local control and the elimination of state graduation requirements.

4.7 High school exit exam

CASB opposes a mandated state or federal high school exit exam.

Rationale: Currently there is no Colorado law requiring that a diploma be contingent upon an exit examination score, but the State Board of Education's graduation guidelines do require local board policies to include "minimum academic competencies," most examples of which are scores on standardized assessments, including TCAP, state test, PARCC, ACT and SAT.

4.8 State assessment program

CASB urges state policymakers to provide sufficient resources and technical expertise to enable the Colorado Department of Education to develop new state assessments, including assessments in Spanish and for special education, that are diagnostic in nature, aligned with standards, provide timely results and measure the annual knowledge growth of each student, with student demographic information reported as a component of the system.

Rationale: The accreditation law calls for testing that will "demonstrate individual student progress over time and provide an accurate indicator of how well the public schools and school districts are educating the children of the state." These new assessments must not only be based on the state's academic content standards but also must focus on ensuring that students are prepared for life beyond high school, in higher education, technical college or entering the workforce. The new assessments also need to provide sufficient student data to enable school-to-school and district-to-district relative comparisons and be useful as part of the evaluation of educator effectiveness.

4.9 State accreditation

CASB urges the State Board of Education and the Department of Education to implement Colorado's accreditation system with appropriate technical and financial assistance in partnership with local boards of education.

Rationale: It is important that CDE works with local boards of education to see that the accreditation process enables school districts to effectively meet both their state and federal accountability requirements.

4.10 Evidence of school performance

CASB urges the legislature to look at a full body of evidence about school performance, including reliable and timely longitudinal data on academic achievement, before mandating that school districts participate in programs based solely on an arbitrary number of low and unsatisfactory schools at one point in time.

Rationale: School districts have made significant strides in reducing the number of students in the unsatisfactory category on the CSAP test. State policymakers should look closely at the body of evidence from other testing measures such as the ALT, NWEA or other nationally recognized standard tests as well as data from the Colorado Growth Model before making judgments about school performance.

CASB OPPOSES THE IMPOSITION OF UNIVERSAL SOLUTIONS AND MEASUREMENTS IN RESPONSE TO DISTRICT-SPECIFIC ISSUES AS OUTLINED IN CURRENT LAW. CASB URGES THE LEGISLATURE TO DIRECT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO STUDY AND REFORMULATE THE CURRENT ACCREDITATION SYSTEM THAT PROVIDES A MORE ACCURATE ACCOUNT OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE WHICH CONSIDERS A FULL BODY OF EVIDENCE ABOUT SCHOOL PERFORMANCE; IS DIFFERENTIATED TO CONSIDER LOCAL FACTORS AND UNIQUE POPULATIONS OF STUDENTS; TAKES IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES AND MEASURES INTO ACCOUNT; AND REFLECTS THE UNIQUE CONTEXT IN WHICH SCHOOL DISTRICTS FUNCTION.

Rationale: The current formula used to impose a state accreditation rating on school districts appears to have a strong correlation to the socio-economic status of the community in which a school or district resides. The current formula identifies students with multiple risk factors multiple times significantly weighting and skewing the results of the calculation. Further, school districts that serve at-risk populations are held to a higher standard of accountability through the use of Adequate Growth Targets. The current formula discounts the unique and important differences among and between Colorado's schools and community and unfairly penalizes school districts that serve the most vulnerable children in the state. Applying a metric that paints districts with one broad brush and creates further inequity among districts is inconsistent with Colorado's values.

***Submitted by the Mapleton School District
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***

4.11 State designated low-performing schools

CASB opposes any state or federal effort to remove any school, regardless of its performance record, from a local school district governed by a locally elected board of education.

Rationale: There are no quick fixes that address the significant challenges facing many of our schools. Instead of conversion to a charter school, the state should partner with the local district to provide the necessary resources and technical assistance to achieve the desired results.

4.12 Online schools

CASB supports thoughtful use of online schools and programs to supplement local school districts' current programs and ensure students' access to blended learning. Online schools and programs must be obligated to report data (as is required of traditional schools and programs) that allows local boards, online providers and families to make appropriate decisions about the best learning environment for local communities and individual students. CASB SUPPORTS INCREASED OVERSIGHT AND SUPPORT FOR MULTI-DISTRICT ONLINE AUTHORIZERS IN ORDER TO ENSURE THAT ALL STUDENTS HAVE ACCESS TO HIGH QUALITY EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.

Rationale: There are issues unique to online schools that should be addressed through accreditation and oversight to ensure that students are well served by all programs offered in the state. This resolution was revised in 2013 to address CASB's concerns regarding the availability of data regarding noncompleter rates of online schools and programs. Specifically, CASB urges the Colorado Department of Education to track and report the noncompleter rates of online schools in the same manner as traditional publicly funded school systems. Students who choose into full-time online schools or programs outside their districts and then return to their home school district should be counted as noncompleters in the online school or online program's statistics, so families and school districts can be aware of the programs that are able to provide an appropriate education.

Rationale for Revision: In 2014, the legislature convened a task force to address a number of issues, including increased oversight for multidistrict authorizers, which serve students from throughout the state and are not held accountable to their local electorate in the same way as single district authorizers.

4.13 Home schooling

CASB supports legislation that holds parents of home-schooled children accountable for their child's reasonable academic progress and urges the General Assembly to enact meaningful education standards for home-based education programs and other measures, including notification to the student's home district of a home school program and record-keeping requirements. This would facilitate collaboration between the home school and the school district in which the child resides in order to best meet the child's needs.

Rationale: Home schooling is a viable alternative to available education opportunities and many parents provide an exemplary education for their children. However, school districts have also had experiences with home-schooled children who re-enroll in public school that indicate some children are not receiving appropriate educational services through their home-school experience. It is important for the state to reexamine its accountability program for home schools. The current requirement that home-schooled students only need to meet the 13th percentile on a nationally standardized test in order to remain in a home-based education program is not sufficient. Annual testing would provide an accountability framework comparable to public schools. Annual test scores would also be helpful if the child chooses to re-enroll in a public school so the school can determine an appropriate placement.

4.14 Public education in the 21st century

CASB urges state and local policymakers to forge a new working relationship in redesigning Colorado's public education system for the 21st century, with a focus on improving student achievement and holding each level of the system accountable, from preschool through post-secondary education, in a manner that:

- a) eliminates bureaucratic mandates and fragmentation so that multilevel communication and interaction can take place to enhance student academic success;
- b) offers all students a rigorous, developmentally appropriate curriculum designed to provide opportunities and choice, regardless of the post-secondary path they choose;
- c) engages the assets of the full community;
- d) utilizes data and technology to individualize education for students and to incorporate new learning into the design;
- e) provides psychological and health services, academic and career, technical and vocational education opportunities for all students, particularly at the middle and high school level;
- f) closes the achievement gap by focusing on quality teaching and learning opportunities;
- g) implements standards-based education fully in a seamless curriculum, so one level of the system builds on the next and the end result is known and understood from the beginning;
- h) provides sufficient resources at every level of the system to meet the challenge; and
- i) preserves the ability of local communities to address local needs and challenges in a creative manner.

Rationale: While school districts are making progress in closing the student achievement gap, too many students still fall below the proficiency level. Even when gaps are known, the education system is not always responsive because of lack of communication, scarce resources and low expectations. In an ideal world, schools would be organized around students' needs from early age and designed to enhance success at the post-secondary level with the required skills and knowledge for students to be successful in the 21st century. The intent of this resolution is to set a framework for dialogue about a next-generation system of education designed with the best interests of students in mind.

4.15 Broadband Internet access

CASB encourages state support to assure that quality, affordable broadband services are available to school districts across the state.

Rationale: The ability of any school to engage in 21st century learning activities is limited by access to broadband Internet services. Whereas the students in the smallest schools located in the most remote regions of Colorado are the most likely to benefit from distance learning opportunities made possible by broadband Internet, these same schools are the least likely in Colorado to be able to secure quality and affordable broadband services. Currently, even some metropolitan school districts must take extreme measures—e.g., shutting down all Internet use within the school or district—simply to permit necessary Internet-based functions, such as filing necessary reports with the state or attempting to secure student/class access. Moreover, with Colorado’s new assessment framework, broadband Internet access is essential. Broadband Internet access must be universally available across the state of Colorado for the thorough and uniform delivery of education relevant for the 21st century.

4.16 Enforcement of attendance and truancy laws for kindergarten students

CASB supports legislation requiring students enrolled in kindergarten to be subject to the same attendance and truancy laws that apply to all students age 6 and older.

Rationale: Early childhood and kindergarten have proven to be the most productive ways of closing achievement gaps. Colorado does not require students to attend school until they are 6 years of age. If a parent decides to enroll their child in a district kindergarten class at the age of 5, there is no legal recourse for attendance or truancy. Changing state law to state that regardless of age, once a child is enrolled in a public school all attendance and truancy laws apply would provide districts with the ability to have the same recourse for kindergarten students as for all other students.

4.17 Turnaround efforts

CASB supports discretion at the state board level to continue the accreditation of those school districts identified as priority improvement or turnaround status evidencing improvement or those with special circumstances for which one of the state’s alternative solutions would not be clearly effective.

Rationale: State statute currently prohibits the State Board of Education from allowing a school district to be accredited if the district has been identified as priority improvement or turnaround for five consecutive years. Some districts in Colorado are now in year four of such status. Because of chronic underfunding, sufficient resources at the state level have not been directed to such districts. Within this context, removal of accreditation is an overly punitive and severe consequence that may not be in the best interests of the school district and its students.

4.18 State assessments

CASB SUPPORTS THE STUDY AND CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MANDATED ASSESSMENTS IN COLORADO. ~~CASB believes that~~ State assessments have an important role to play in the accreditation of schools and districts, but ~~believes~~ the administration of such tests should be limited so as not to be redundant, overly disruptive to the educational environment, or compromising of essential instructional time. ASSESSMENTS FOR SECONDARY STUDENTS SHOULD BE GIVEN AS END-OF-COURSE EXAMS RATHER THAN BY GRADE LEVEL AND NO ASSESSMENTS SHOULD BE MANDATED FOR STUDENTS IN THE 12TH GRADE.

Rationale: As the state assessments are rolled out to align with the Colorado Academic Standards, more instructional time is occupied with preparing for the tests, creating a viable schedule for the classes/students who have to take the tests, performing practice tests, providing essential accommodations for students with disabilities to take the tests, addressing student anxiety around testing, and administering the actual assessments. Some districts estimate they spend 20 days, approximately 15 percent of instructional time, involved in just the test-taking for state assessments. There is also excessive redundancy of testing. For example, the state requires juniors to take the ACT in the fourth quarter of their junior year, and then also requires an additional science assessment test (which is tested on the ACT) in the fall of their senior year, leaving one to wonder what exactly is being measured or what meaningful data is being gathered in that second round of testing. Additionally, some tests are given at grade level and do not always track with when a student completes the related course work. In 2014, the legislature convened a task force to study testing requirements. CASB supports a meaningful analysis of state testing and related issues and encourages state policymakers to carefully consider the recommendations of the committee when working to balance the benefits of the data to be gleaned from multiple tests with the benefits of helping Colorado's students become critical thinkers and lifelong learners.

***Revisions submitted by Poudre R-1
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***

Annual Resolutions

4.19 State assessment design

CASB urges state policymakers to withdraw from the Partnership for Assessment for Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC). The Colorado Department of Education should be tasked to work to strategically design its own state assessments to measure progress toward colorado academic standards.

Rationale: Local boards of education are accountable to the local electorate for decisions regarding curriculum, selection of textbooks and materials, instructional delivery, personnel, fiscal management and local choice options. Participation in PARCC forces local communities and educators to shift from assuring the appropriate attention to the Colorado standards and focus only on those standards that will be tested. The PARCC is not research-based and does not align with all of the Colorado Academic Standards, is heavily funded and influenced by commercial interests, and is an unproven testing solution. Instead, it imposes a universal assessment on children in Colorado and across the nation. PARCC funnels valuable state education funds to out-of-state vendors instead of allocating the much-needed funds to Colorado classrooms. Moreover, PARCC exposes the inequity in quality technology education across Colorado districts, further highlighting the "digital divide."

***Submitted by Mapleton Public Schools
Forwarded for discussion on the merits by the Legislative Resolutions Committee***





4.20 Reduce State Assessments

CASB supports the implementation of PARCC assessments as a viable means for measuring student progress in grades 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11. CASB urges the state to grant local districts the flexibility to utilize recognized, validated and normed assessments more conducive to formative evaluations of student progress in grades 4, 6, 8 and 10.

Rationale: In order to reduce the number of required assessments, local districts should be required to administer the PARCC assessment only every other year and in the alternate years use a nationally normed and recognized assessment (such as MAPS by NWEA), which is a formative assessment that provides critical information about students' learning needs. Currently, districts give the state's standardized assessments and MAPS. This resolution proposes a way to reduce both the testing burden and time spent on testing.

Submitted by Poudre R-1

Forwarded for discussion on the merits by the Legislative Resolutions Committee



4.21 Reduce state assessments to federal minimums

CASB urges the state to reduce the number and frequency of testing and assessments by aligning testing to meet and maintain only the minimum federal requirements to ensure sustainment of federal funding for public schools.

Rationale: Local boards of education are accountable to the local electorate for decisions regarding curriculum, selection of textbooks and materials, instructional delivery, personnel, fiscal management and local choice options. The combination of current state and federal assessments distracts and redirects much-needed resources away from classrooms; reduces critical instructional time in classrooms; and heavily burdens school districts with limited human resources and unfunded technology requirements. Excessive assessment encroaches upon a local board's constitutional authority to set educational standards and priorities for its students.

Submitted by Mapleton Public Schools

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee



4.22 Opt out of state assessments

CASB urges the state to provide an opportunity for parents/students to opt out of state assessments without any negative consequences for local districts.

Submitted by Mapleton Public Schools

Forwarded for discussion on the merits by Legislative Resolutions Committee



4.23 Alternative assessment system

CASB urges the General Assembly to provide an option for individual school districts to adopt, subject to state board approval, an alternative assessment system incorporating nationally or state-normed assessments.

Rationale: The purpose of such flexibility would be for districts to create assessment systems that are sensitive to local priorities while still providing the state a way to hold districts accountable for student performance and growth.

Submitted by Falcon School District 49

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee



4.24 Collection and use of student data

CASB urges the legislature to impose stringent parameters around the collection, sharing and use of student data, including requiring parental approval prior to collecting sensitive data, and enforcing the protections of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). The legislature should not mandate the collection of data by CDE that compromises the privacy of students, parents or teachers, nor should this data collection be tied to receipt of state funds.

Rationale: The general public is unaware that FERPA laws have been bypassed or disregarded. Collection of data without articulation of why and how it will be used does not directly support student learning, increases risk of student and staff tracking and profiling, exposes students and staff to the unmanaged use and sale of data to unauthorized vendors or researchers, and increases the burden on already heavily tasked school districts. Student data collected by the state to track teacher performance may not be protected from disclosure because it does not include a student identifier. However, that data may be disclosed upon request and used to inappropriately label schools and/or districts.

*Submitted by Mapleton Public Schools
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*



4.25 Concurrent enrollment

CASB urges the General Assembly to eliminate existing concurrent enrollment policies and practices that create obstacles for districts wishing to offer college-level courses to high school students. Regional exclusivity should be abandoned at the community college level to create an environment of open competition.

Rationale: The current system of regions for community colleges has created an exclusivity of higher education offering to public schools. Under current practice, high schools must apply to their appointed regional community college to request higher education classes for students who have indicated an interest in a particular class. If the community college denies the request while another college is willing to grant it, a release must be requested from and granted by the community college that initially denied the class request before the college that is willing to provide the class can do so. This process is burdensome and, in practice, has limited the course offerings available to students.

*Submitted by the Kiowa C-2 School District
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*



4.26 School readiness assessments

CASB urges the General Assembly to provide school districts flexibility with regard to school readiness assessments and planning.

Rationale: In 2008, the legislature adopted CAP4K, which included a requirement that, beginning in the fall of 2013, local education providers administer approved school readiness assessments for all kindergarten students and ensure all children in publicly funded preschool or kindergarten receive an individual school readiness plan. To date, the State Board has approved only one readiness assessment, TS Gold, which is staff- and time-intensive and burdensome to implement, particularly for small rural districts with limited staff and resources. The department is currently advising districts to phase-in this provision of CAP4K and additional assessments may be approved in fall 2014. Local districts should have the flexibility to identify and address students' school readiness needs.

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee

Personnel

Personnel policies, practices and relationships that support the hiring, evaluation and retention of quality employees in an atmosphere of trust and shared accountability provide the working foundation for successful district operations and student learning.

Standing resolutions

5.1 Articulation of vision and goals to staff

CASB believes Boards of education that clearly articulate district vision and goals to staff will be successful.

5.2 Staff professional development

CASB supports staff professional development, which includes an understanding of developmentally appropriate learning environments, curricula and assessments beginning with early childhood education as an important element for school improvement and a key factor for successful implementation of standards-based education and integration of technology into the classroom.

5.3 Employee evaluation

CASB encourages local boards of education to make employee evaluation a priority by providing the necessary resources to implement a quality evaluation system AND MONITORING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT SYSTEM.

5.4 Establishing terms and conditions of employment

CASB believes An essential function of the local board's of education constitutional authority is to establish the process by which terms and conditions of employment for school district employees are determined.

Legislative agenda

5.5 Incentives to enter teaching profession

CASB supports legislation that creates incentives to enter the teaching profession and remain in Colorado to teach.

Rationale: There are various models in place in other states and from other professions to encourage interested persons to pursue a profession where there is a genuine shortage. In some areas of Colorado, and in some subject areas, the provider shortage is acute. Rural areas in the state are particularly hard hit. An example of an incentive would be a state income tax credit that does not require additional state or local tax dollars to fund the program or redirect existing funds.

5.6 Teacher contracts

CASB supports legislation that would impose penalties on licensed school personnel who enter into contracts with more than one school district for the same academic year, as well as change the deadline date by which a licensed employee must give written notice to a school district that he or she will not fulfill the obligations of the employment contract.

Rationale: The current law, which allows teachers to resign up to 30 days before the start of the academic year, poses a hardship for school districts. The pool of teaching candidates in smaller districts for “hard-to-recruit” instructional areas such as English as a second language, upper-level secondary mathematics and science, foreign languages, special education and so forth, is significantly limited in comparison to larger districts. The closer to the opening of the school year late resignations are statutorily allowed, the more likely smaller districts will need to reduce or eliminate academic programs for students or rehire ineffective educators so students can meet college entrance course requirements. The statute should permit including a liquidated damages provision of a specified amount (e.g., \$1,500) that a teacher must pay if he or she terminates the contract without sufficient notice to the district.

5.7 Public employee retirement

CASB supports legislation that strengthens the actuarial funding of the Public Employee Retirement fund and ensures the long-term viability of the pension program for existing and future school district employees, while minimizing the financial impact on school districts.

Rationale: PERA is an important benefit that attracts and retains quality professionals in the teaching and school district support professions. The long-term financial viability of PERA is crucial for school employees. At the same time, it is important that any adjustments made to the rates employers must pay to preserve the financial stability of the fund be done in a manner that does not cause undue hardship for employers, including school districts, during tough economic times.

5.8 Educator effectiveness

CASB supports the implementation of SB 10-191 in a fair and equitable fashion. Local school boards must retain the flexibility needed to design their own systems of evaluation that fit the needs of their community with guidance from the resource bank established by the Colorado Department of Education.

Rationale: The passage of SB 10-191 ushers in a new era of educator evaluations. Much of the work to design this system has been done by the state and is available for adoption, in whole or part, by local school boards. However, the local boards are ultimately responsible for the design and implementation of an educator evaluation system that meets their local needs.

5.9 Nonrenewing ineffective educators

CASB supports continued legislative efforts to clarify portions of SB 10-191 to protect the ability of school districts to retain, dismiss or nonrenew educators based upon a clear set of performance expectations. The process for nonrenewing an effective educator must be clear and fair to both the educator and the school district.

Rationale: Prior to the implementation of SB 10-191, once a teacher completed a three-year probationary period, the teacher attained “nonprobationary” status. If problems developed with a nonprobationary teacher’s performance and intervention was not successful, the school district’s only recourse was to initiate a dismissal process. Under SB 10-191, teachers may lose their nonprobationary status and be subject to nonrenewal as a probationary teacher if they receive two consecutive “ineffective” ratings. However, there is a difference of opinion as to the timing and process to be followed if/when a teacher receives his/her second consecutive ineffective rating. We recognize that many districts will choose to retain ineffective teachers and provide opportunities for those teachers to improve their performance. However, CASB will advocate for an interpretation/clarification of the law that allows districts flexibility to nonrenew ineffective teachers in a timely fashion.

5.10 Licensure redesign

CASB supports efforts to redesign the state educator licensing system to help widen the available applicant pool, elevate the profession and remove unnecessary costs and barriers to licensure and renewal. CASB opposes legislation linking educator licensure to local performance evaluation ratings.

Rationale: The proper role of state licensure is to ensure compliance with the requirements for entry into the profession. The state may consider modifications to the state licensure system designed to increase the pool of effective educators in our state. The changes being considered could include: eliminating unnecessary costs and barriers to licensure and renewal, establishing a licensure career ladder, aligning teacher preparation and induction programs with the practice standards adopted pursuant to SB 10-191 and conditioning licensure for teachers on “effectiveness” as determined by an educator’s individual performance evaluation. While CASB recognizes the benefit of many of these initiatives, we oppose any link between licensure and evaluations.

5.11 Teacher preparation and induction programs

CASB supports state initiatives to align teacher preparation and induction programs with the practice standards adopted pursuant to SB 10-191. CASB will work to ensure that the state provides adequate funding and other information-based resources to assist schools and districts as they work to accomplish such alignment in their local programs. CASB opposes any effort to eliminate alternative licensure programs as an alternative pathway to licensure and any mandates that limit local discretion with regard to the design of teacher preparation and induction programs.

Rationale: Recent research shows teacher preparation programs are not preparing teachers adequately to meet the needs of today’s students and standards-based systems. Further, the quality of induction programs varies significantly by district and even by school. The state has a meaningful role to play in synthesizing the research for effective induction and identifying new sources of revenue to support the implementation of such programs by local boards of education. Further, as the recipients of the products of state teacher preparation programs, CASB encourages collaboration between and among institutions of higher education and K-12 educators to ensure teacher preparation programs are equipping educators with the skills they need to be successful upon graduation.

5.12 Mandatory collective bargaining

CASB opposes state legislative intrusion into school districts’ employment relationships through mandates related to collective bargaining.

Rationale: Colorado permits, but does not require, its local governments to bargain over terms of employment. CASB opposes any efforts to make collective bargaining mandatory.

Annual Resolutions

5.13 Mandatory public bargaining

CASB opposes any effort to require that collective bargaining negotiations and/or board deliberations related to those negotiations be open to the public.

Rationale: Decisions about how to hold collective bargaining sessions should be left to local boards. CASB opposes Initiative 124, a ballot measure that creates unique obligations for school boards in negotiations by requiring an open meeting any time “members of a board of education, school administration personnel, or a combination thereof” discuss a collective bargaining agreement with a representative of an employees’ group. Initiative 124 also prohibits school boards from conferring in executive session about negotiations related to collective bargaining or employment contracts (other than an individual employment contract)—something that every other local or state public body is authorized to do.

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee

5.14 Mitigate damages for probationary teachers

CASB urges the General Assembly to amend the Teacher Employment, Compensation and Dismissal Act to require the mitigation of damages available to teachers under the law.

Rationale: Under current law, a nonprobationary teacher who does not receive written notice of the board’s nonrenewal action by June 1 is automatically deemed to be re-employed for the succeeding academic year at the salary the teacher would be entitled to receive under the district’s salary schedule. This resolution calls for a statutory change in order to overturn a Colorado Supreme Court decision holding that a school district owed a teacher (who had not been properly nonrenewed) a full year’s wages, even though the teacher secured a new position in another school district. When damages are mitigated, a court must reduce the amount awarded to an injured party by any amounts that would offset their injury. For example, if a teacher does not receive notice of nonrenewal by June 1st, but finds employment elsewhere, then the amount of damages the teacher could receive from the first school district would be the salary due under the salary schedule minus the amount of money the teacher earned in the new position. So, if a teacher would have earned \$45,000 on the salary schedule, but takes a new position making \$32,000, then the amount the teacher could be awarded under TECDA from the original district would be \$13,000 [\$45,000-\$32,000].

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee



Community

Local boards should engage in strategic and long-range planning with significant involvement from the community to provide leadership and direction for the district and to regularly engage their constituents so that the board's policies and actions reflect the diverse communities they serve.

Standing resolutions

6.1 Role of parents

CASB believes in the fundamental principle that parents/guardians are the foundation of each student's education and that this important role should be respected when a local board adopts curriculum and when CASB advocates on behalf of local boards.

6.2 Parental involvement

CASB supports partnerships between parents/guardians and schools that encourage parental/guardian involvement both in classrooms and outside of school.

6.3 Safe schools

CASB urges local school boards to work with parents, students, community organizations, youth and family serving agencies, behavioral (mental) health providers, the business community, law enforcement and the judiciary to develop and implement effective policies and programs that will ensure continued safe and violence-free schools.

6.4 Diversity

CASB encourages local boards to create an atmosphere welcoming people of diverse backgrounds to actively participate in district activities at all levels. LOCAL SCHOOL BOARDS AND THE COLORADO HIGH SCHOOL ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATION SHOULD REFRAIN FROM SCHEDULING EVENTS THAT MIGHT INTERFERE WITH A LOCAL STUDENT'S ABILITY TO OBSERVE A MAJOR SPIRITUAL HOLIDAY.

Rationale for revision: Moved from Resolution 2.8.

6.5 Relationships with governmental and community agencies

CASB supports efforts to build relationships with local governmental entities and community organizations to strengthen, support and maximize the resources for public education.

6.6 Coordination of services

CASB supports voluntary coordination of school, human and social services, including with Colorado preschool programs, head start programs, child-care centers and local early childhood councils, to ensure that children and families receive necessary assistance so children enter school ready to learn, and to avoid duplication of services.

Legislative agenda

6.7 School organization process

CASB believes Any decisions about school district consolidation or deconsolidation should only be made by local districts in conjunction with local communities.

Rationale: On occasion, state policymakers begin analyzing the size of school districts to determine what size is optimum for efficiency and effectiveness. There are also issues related to school district boundaries and size when enrollment is declining or when a district is experiencing rapid growth in one area. All of these concerns should be addressed at the local level through the planning that takes place in the school organization process, without the state imposing solutions on a local community.

6.8 Flexibility on CPP requirements

CASB believes that Any state legislation and regulations addressing the Colorado Preschool Program (CPP) must allow for local community flexibility to ensure that school districts have the authority to spend resources with high-quality community-based programs that meet local needs.

Rationale: The Colorado Preschool Program may be delivered in locally approved and chosen settings. This local decision-making authority must be retained.

6.9 Programs for nonviolent juvenile offenders

CASB supports appropriation of additional state funding provided to local school districts to address the needs of nonviolent juvenile offenders as an alternative to detention/incarceration.

Rationale: Detaining juveniles in facilities operated by the Division of Youth Corrections poses significant direct and indirect costs, including costs to society resulting from juveniles receiving limited educational services while incarcerated. Investing additional resources into educational programs designed to provide meaningful education and job skills to nonviolent juvenile offenders will reduce the rate of recidivism and the direct costs of future incarceration while increasing the safety and economic health of our communities.

6.10 CPP advisory council

CASB supports state legislation that would include at least one local school board member on each school district Colorado Preschool Program (CPP) Advisory Council if a member expresses interest in serving on the council.

Rationale: Duties of the CPP Advisory Council include those that board members can excel at, such as connecting and coordinating with community providers (private child care, Head Start), parental involvement and implementation of the program. There are many examples of board members serving on advisory committees that recommend actions to the board (e.g., wellness, policy, budget). It is important that this council include locally elected school board members who serve as representatives of the community and bring a wide range of expertise to education policy issues.

**Annual Resolution****6.11 Regional building permits and inspections**

CASB urges the General Assembly to adopt legislation allowing school districts to utilize local building departments for all permits and inspections, rather than requiring electrical and plumbing inspections to be performed by the state.

Rationale: Under current law, the inspection of electrical and plumbing systems in public schools must be performed by state inspectors employed by the Department of Regulatory Agencies (DORA), while building and fire inspections may be delegated by the state to local departments. Allowing districts to obtain electrical and plumbing permits from regional building departments will alleviate the current backlog at state inspection offices and allow districts to complete projects in a timely and cost-effective manner.

*Submitted by Falcon School District 49
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*

Federal Issues

P-12 public schools should be governed at the local level. Any federal education requirements should be limited, fully funded and judiciously made.

Standing resolutions

7.1 Local control

CASB opposes efforts to shift control over public schools away from local school boards, whether by federal or state legislative action, regulation, court decision or initiative. CASB supports efforts to restore decision-making authority to locally elected boards of education.

7.2 Role of federal government

~~CASB believes~~ The federal government's role is to conduct and support research to develop and promote best practices and to communicate information so that states and local school boards can determine how best to improve student achievement. The fundamental role of the federal government in education is to help ensure equal educational opportunities for every child. The federal government should not set achievement standards or impose a national assessment.

7.3 Implementation of federal mandate

~~CASB believes~~ Implementation of any federal program or other requirement that is not fully funded should become optional at the district level.

Rationale: The federal government should not hold schools to compliance requirements that it does not fully fund.

7.4 Reimbursement for federal impact

~~CASB believes~~ The federal government should reimburse, in a timely manner, local districts for any costs, lost revenue or other financial impact resulting from the implementation of federal activities.

Rationale: Impact Aid provides a payment in lieu of taxes (PILT) to local school districts for lost tax revenue due to the presence of tax-exempt federal property (such as a military base) and/or increased expenditures due to enrollment of federally connected children. Impact Aid provides funding to about 15 school districts in Colorado and the use of the funds is determined by the locally elected school board.

Legislative agenda

7.5 Federal investment in education

CASB supports full funding for federally mandated programs.

Rationale: The federal government's practice of imposing requirements without providing sufficient funding significantly increases the pressure on school districts' operating budget to the detriment of other programs. By way of example, federal funding for the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) has consistently fallen far short of the 40 percent contribution amount established when the law was enacted. Additionally, federal dollars should be distributed in a manner that allows all districts to satisfy federal requirements. Competitive grants should only be used to encourage districts to explore and implement innovative approaches to education.

7.6 Choice with accountability

CASB supports educational choice with uniform accountability for all publicly funded educational institutions.

Rationale: A level playing field requires uniform accountability for all publicly funded educational institutions. Fair and uniform accountability among all schools, including online and charter schools, is necessary to effectively compare and identify those educational opportunities that best support student achievement.

7.7 Eligibility for free and reduced-price school lunch

~~CASB believes that~~ Eligibility for free and reduced-price school lunches should be calculated on the basis of all bona fide income or loss.

Rationale: Families in many rural school districts combine their farm and ranch activities with hourly wage jobs to provide a more stable income. Unfortunately, the procedure for qualifying for free and reduced-price lunches excludes losses from farm and ranch activities when they are combined with an hourly wage job. This puts these families at a disadvantage for qualifying for free or reduced-price school lunches.

7.8 ESEA/NCLB

CASB supports the reauthorization of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act/ No Child Left Behind Act (ESEA/NCLB) in a manner that ensures transparency and affords states and local districts flexibility to develop education systems that ensure all students will develop the 21st century skills and abilities necessary for success in a global economy. Critical amendments include:

- a) incorporating growth for all students over time (longitudinal growth) as the primary measure of school success;
- b) creating financial incentives to allow local boards of education to address local impediments to student growth, including staffing and technology;
- c) allowing states flexibility (with accountability) to determine how best to assess and measure learning progress for special education students in accordance with their individual education plans;

- d) providing flexibility in assessing the progress of English language learners until they become proficient in English;
- e) providing support and resources to schools not meeting annual growth goals rather than sanctions; and
- f) providing flexibility for local boards to select supplemental service providers for academic intervention services.

Rationale: Current ESEA/NCLB requirements have become barriers to the implementation of state reforms and other local innovations by creating a two-tiered system of accountability (federal and state) that is confusing and often results in conflicting outcomes. While we support the ESEA/NCLB waivers granted to the state by the federal government, we do not believe that waivers are an effective form of governance. ESEA/NCLB should be re-authorized and amended to allow the state and local boards of education flexibility to design and implement state-level education reforms and local innovations and/or corrective actions in a manner that meets the specific needs of the students in each district.

7.9 Expansion or elimination of military facilities

CASB urges Congress and the military to involve local school boards and communities when considering the expansion or elimination of military installations, such as the proposed expansion of the Piñon Canyon Maneuver Site, so that locally elected officials, including school board members, along with the public have an opportunity to not only voice their opinions but also to fully explore the economic impacts such expansion would have on the community.

Rationale: The expansion, reduction or elimination of military installations inevitably impacts the local community. In some cases the impact is positive, but in others it is negative and threatens the viability of entire communities. Regardless, when the federal government is making land use decisions, the local community should be included early in the process and should have a meaningful role.

7.10 Preserving Secure Rural Schools (SRS) funding

CASB urges Congress to pass a 10-year reauthorization of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (SRSCA) to ensure that rural school districts with National Forest Lands within their boundaries continue to get support from the federal government to help offset the loss of tax revenue that would be used to fund local schools.

Rationale: In 1908, rural communities entered into a contract through which counties that housed National Forest Land received a 25 percent share of revenues from forest harvests to fund critical rural services, including education. This revenue sharing program worked well until the 1990s, when natural resource policies dramatically reduced timber harvests on National Forest Lands. In 2000, Congress reaffirmed its commitment to the contract by passing and funding the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (SRSCA). In 2008, the SRSCA was re-authorized for an additional four years but with rural community payments decreasing by 10 percent annually. The SRSCA was re-authorized for fiscal year (FY) 2013 but the full funding amount for FY 2013 for all counties that elect to receive a share of the state payment is 95 percent of the FY 2012 amount. It is time for a strategic, long-term plan to resume and continue SRSCA funding in a manner that provides rural forested communities and schools with funding to offset the loss of tax revenue that would otherwise come to the community.

7.11 Federal funding directly allocated to local boards of education

CASB supports the ability of local school boards of education, boards of cooperative services or consortiums of school boards to directly seek federal funding should the state of Colorado refuse to accept federal education funds.

7.12 Flexibility of federal title funding

CASB urges Congress to allow local school districts the flexibility to use federal title funding in a manner that best suits the needs of the district. Formulaic requirements tie the hands of local school districts.

Rationale: Requirements placed on the use of federal funds often prevent districts from using the funding in the most efficient and effective manner.

7.13 Honor existing state regulation regarding the use of student restraint

CASB opposes federal regulations regarding the use of physical restraint where states have adequate protections in place.

Rationale: In response to concerns regarding the protection of students from physical restraint or improper seclusion at school, the Colorado Department of Education convened a task force including legislators, educators, parents of students with disabilities, advocates for children and families, and specialized providers. This task force met for more than three years and developed a comprehensive set of rules regulating the use of restraint in Colorado's schools. The state and other agencies have spent considerable time and expense providing training regarding these rules and safe practices for students. Developing new federal regulations fails to honor the collaborative work accomplished in Colorado (and many other states) and will create confusion and the need for additional training and administrative expenditures.

Annual Resolutions**7.14 School board involvement in federal policy**

School board members should actively engage in federal policy discussions by inviting congressional representatives into their districts to highlight local successes and provide a clear understanding of the challenges created by overreaching federal policies and unfunded mandates.

Rationale: School board members must engage their federal representatives to provide them with a clear understanding of the impact of overreaching federal policies and the failure to fully fund costly federal mandates, including the Individual with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Despite a commitment to fund 40 percent of excess special education costs, the federal government has never funded IDEA at a rate higher than 19 percent and its current funding level is at 16 percent. Board members, and their communities, must advocate for their schools and urge their federal representatives to do the same in Congress.

*Submitted by the Federal Relations Network
Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee*



7.15 Eliminate federal nutrition requirements

CASB supports the restoration of local flexibility for school districts struggling to comply with federal nutritional requirements. Congress should support school districts that are assuming greater responsibility for the health and nutrition of students through administrative and regulatory flexibility, adequately funded incentives and grants that enable them to further expand their local commitment.

Rationale: It is imperative that federal policy assures that school districts are supported, not undermined, by unfunded mandates or under-resourced requirements. The Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 imposes numerous new responsibilities on school districts without sufficient federal funding and resources. The bill unreasonably limits food choices available to students, impacts school fundraisers and will require districts to redirect financial resources to the school food authority and/or shift additional costs to students and their families through increased meal prices. The unfunded or underfunded mandates will inevitably result in the loss of programs and/or jobs at the local level.

Submitted by Woodlin R-104

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee

7.16 Modernize and expand the E-Rate program

CASB supports the expansion and modernization of the E-Rate program so that all schools can provide students with the 21st century skills necessary to be competitive in a global economy. Any effort to modernize the program must increase E-Rate resources rather than redirecting inadequate resources away from current program participants. CASB also calls for a permanent exemption for E-Rate from the Anti-Deficiency Act.

Rationale: The Federal E-Rate program helps schools and libraries build technology infrastructure and provides discounted telecommunications and Internet services for students in low income and rural areas. E-Rate is a vital source of assistance in maintaining Internet connectivity, bringing new learning opportunities to students and enhancing distance learning in rural areas. However, current demand is more than double the resources available and, other than inflationary adjustments in 2010, there has been no increase in the 2.24 billion cap on E-Rate resources since the program's inception in 1996.


In June 2013, the administration announced ConnectED, an initiative to connect 99 percent of America's students to the Internet through high-speed broadband and high-speed wireless within five years. The FCC is currently engaged in rulemaking designed to modernize and leverage the E-Rate program to meet that goal and to get Internet connectivity and educational technology into all classrooms. Ensuring that schools and libraries have access to 21st century broadband will require substantial and ongoing increases in resources, not just the redirection of existing resources in a manner that will do no harm to current program recipients.

A permanent exemption from the Anti-Deficiency Act (ADA) is necessary to ensure that E-Rate funding commitments are not suspended (as they were in 2004) due to application of the ADA. The suspension of funding obligations from August to November 2004 affected over 4,000 applicants and some schools lost their Internet connection. Each year since 2004, Congress has exempted the program from the ADA in order for USAC to be able to release funding waves at a much faster rate, and in 2011 Congress exempted the E-rate program from the ADA for a two-year period.

Submitted by the Federal Relations Network

Forwarded with recommendation by the Legislative Resolutions Committee





CASB Districts by Region

1

REGION 1

Akron R-1
Arickaree R-2
Briggsdale RE 10-J
Brush RE 2(J)
Fleming School
Haxtun RE-2J
Holyoke Re-1J
Idalia RJ-3
Julesburg RE-1
Liberty J-4
Lone Star #101
Merino RE-4J
Morgan County Re-3
Otis R-3
Pawnee Re-12
Peetz Plateau RE-5
Prairie RE-11J
RE-1 Valley
Revere School District
Weldon Valley RE-20J
Wiggins RE-50J
Wray RD-2
Yuma 1

2

REGION 2

Agate 300
Arriba-Flagler Consolidated 20
Bethune School District
Big Sandy 100J
Burlington RE-6J
Byers 32-J
Calhan RJ1
Cheyenne County Re-5
Deer Trail 26J
Edison 54JT
Elbert #200
Elizabeth C-1
Genoa-Hugo C-113
Hi-Plains R-23
Karval RE-23
Kiowa C-2
Kiowa County RE-1
Kit Carson R-1
Limon Public Schools
Miami Yoder JT-60
Plainview RE-2
Stratton R-4
Woodlin R-104

3

REGION 3

Aguilar Re-6
Branson RE-82
Campo RE-6
Cheraw #31
Crowley County RE-1J
Fowler R4J
Granada RE-1
Hoehne RE-3
Holly RE-3
Kim R-88
La Junta Public Schools
Lamar RE-2
Las Animas No. Re-1
Manzanola 3J
McClave RE-2
Primero RE-2
Pritchett RE-3
Rocky Ford R2
Springfield RE-4
Swink #33
Trinidad #1
Vilas RE-5
Walsh RE-1
Wiley Re-13-Jt

4

REGION 4

Eaton RE-2
Estes Park R-3
Platte Valley RE-7
Poudre R-1
St. Vrain Valley RE-1J
Thompson R2-J
Weld County 6
Weld County RE-1
Weld County RE-3J
Weld County Re-8
Weld RE-4
Weld RE-5J
Weld RE-9

5

REGION 5

Adams 14
Adams County 50
Bennett 29J
Boulder Valley School District
Englewood Schools
Littleton Public Schools
Mapleton Public Schools
School District 27J
Sheridan School District
Strasburg 31J

6

REGION 6

Academy 20
Canon City Schools
Cheyenne Mountain 12
Colorado School for the Deaf
and the Blind
Colorado Springs D-11
Cripple Creek-Victor RE-1
Custer County C-1
Ellicott 22
Falcon 49
Fountain-Fort Carson 8
Fremont RE-2
Hanover No. 28
Harrison 2
Huerfano Re-1
La Veta RE-2
Lewis Palmer #38
Manitou Springs 14
Peyton 23-Jt
Pueblo 70
Pueblo City Schools
Widefield 3
Woodland Park Re-2

7

REGION 7

East Grand School District
Hayden School District
Moffat County RE-1
North Park R-1
South Routt RE-3
Steamboat Springs RE-2
West Grand 1-JT

8

REGION 8

Buena Vista R-31
Clear Creek RE-1
Cotopaxi RE-3
Eagle County Schools
Gilpin County RE-1
Lake County R-1
Park County RE-2
Platte Canyon #1
Salida R-32-J
Summit RE-1

LARGE DISTRICTS

Adams 12 Five Star Schools
Aurora Public Schools
Cherry Creek Schools
Denver Public Schools
Douglas County RE-1
Jefferson County Public Schools

9

REGION 9

Alamosa RE-11J
Centennial R-1
Center Consolidated 26JT
Creede School District
Del Norte C-7
Moffat Consolidated #2
Monte Vista School District
Mountain Valley RE-1
North Conejos RE1-J
Sanford School District
Sangre de Cristo RE-22J
Sargent RE-33J
Sierra Grande R-30
South Conejos RE-10

10

REGION 10

Aspen School District
DeBeque 49-JT
Garfield County No. 16
Garfield Re-2
Meeker RE-1
Mesa County Valley 51
Plateau Valley 50
Rangely RE-4
Roaring Fork RE-1

11

REGION 11

Delta County 50J
Gunnison Watershed RE1J
Hinsdale County RE-1
Montrose County RE-1J
Norwood Public Schools
Ouray R-1
Ridgway R-2
Telluride R-1
West End RE-2

12

REGION 12

Archuleta #50 JT
Bayfield 10 Jt-R
Dolores County RE-2(J)
Dolores RE-4A
Durango 9-R
Ignacio 11-JT
Mancos Re-6
Montezuma-Cortez RE-1
Silverton Public Schools

CASB Board of Directors

44

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Region 6

Jan Tanner — President
Colorado Springs D-11

Large District

Matt Cook — Past President
& Ex-Officio Member
Aurora Public Schools

Large District

Jim O'Brien — President-Elect
Cherry Creek Schools

Region 1

Jim Engelker
Revere School District

Region 2

Lyndon Burnett
Agate 300

Region 4

Thomas Balchak
Poudre R-1

Region 5

Laurie Albright
Boulder Valley Schools

DIRECTORS

Region 3

J. Scott Curley
McClave RE-2

Region 4

Debbie Lammers
St. Vrain Valley RE-1J

Nancy Sarchet
Weld RE-1

Region 5

Mary Nichols
Littleton Public Schools

Jen Raiffie

Mapleton Public Schools

Region 6

Sherryl Dillon
Cheyenne Mountain No. 12

Phyllis Sanchez

Pueblo City Schools

Region 7

Brendan Gale
West Grand 1-JT

Region 8

Erin Young
Summit RE-1

Region 9

Ronda Lobato
Centennial R-1

Region 10

Tom Parrish
Mesa County Valley 51

Region 11

Cheryl Miller
Telluride R-1

Region 12

Toby Roderick
Ignacio 11-JT

Large District

Jill Fellman
Jefferson County Public Schools

Eric Nelson

Aurora Public Schools

JulieMarie Shepherd

Aurora Public Schools

STATE BOARD LIAISON

Angelika Schroeder

Mission Statement

Advancing excellence in public education through effective leadership by locally elected boards of education.

Vision Statement

The Colorado Association of School Boards through leadership, service, training and advocacy prepares local boards of education to advance a system of public schools where all students are challenged to meet their full potential.



Colorado Association of School Boards

1200 Grant Street
Denver, Colorado 80203-2306
303-832-1000 • 800-530-8430
www.casb.org

Connect with CASB!
www.facebook.com/ColoSchoolBoards
[@CASBConnect](https://twitter.com/CASBConnect)